

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,909	06/27/2003	Jianbo Lu	202-0433 (FGT 1683 PA)	8038
28549	7590 04/01/2005	EXAMINER		
KEVIN G. MIERZWA ARTZ & ARTZ, P.C.			NGUYEN, TAI T	
28333 TELEGRAPH ROAD, SUITE 250 SOUTHFIELD, MI 48034			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2632	

DATE MAILED: 04/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/608,909	LU ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Tai T. Nguyen	2632		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 June 2003</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 June 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11.	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/01/04& 06/27/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/608,909 Page 2

Art Unit: 2632

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 17-108 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected claimed inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on December 03, 2004.

Applicant's election with traverse of group I in the reply filed on December 03, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that group II should be examined with group I. This is not found persuasive because specific determination steps and control method are claimed necessitating further search or considerations not required for group I.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "said controller" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2632

(figure 2);

Claim 7 recites the limitation "said safety" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matsumoto (US 4,976,330).

Regarding claims 1-3, Matsumoto discloses a system for a vehicle (figure 1) having a at least one wheel (8R, 8L, 12R, 12L) comprising:

- a first roll condition detector (28) generating a first roll condition signal (figure 2); a second roll condition detector (13) generating a second roll condition signal
- a third roll condition detector (27) generating a third roll condition signal (figure 2); and
- a determination means (34) for passively determining wheel lift in response to the first, second, and third roll conditions (col. 4, lines 24-37).

Regarding claims 5-6, Matsumoto discloses a controller (30) generating and responding to a potential rollover signal in response to the wheel lift signal (col. 3, lines 40-54 and col. 4, lines 45-56).

Regarding claim 7, Matsumoto discloses the controller providing braking during a liftoff condition (col. 5, lines 15-30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumoto (US 4,976,330).

Regarding claim 4, Matsumoto discloses lift-off determining means being responsive to the degree of acceleration (col. 3, lines 40-54 and col. 4, lines 45-56). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the roll condition signals also provide a degree indication in order to rapidly respond to adverse conditions.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tai T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2961. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30am-5:00pm..

Application/Control Number: 10/608,909 Page 5

Art Unit: 2632

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J. Wu can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tai T. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2632

March 26, 2005